Polynuclear Zinc(II) Complexes of Phenol–Imine and –Amine Macrocycles[†]

Eiji Asato,*,^a Hideki Furutachi,^a Takako Kawahashi^b and Masahiro Mikuriya^b

^a Department of Chemistry, College of Science, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara-cho, Okinawa 903-01, Japan

^b Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Kwansei Gakuin University, Uegahara, Nishinomiya 662, Japan

The template condensation of 2,6-diformyl-4-methylphenol with 1,11-diamino-3,6,9-trioxaundecane using zinc(II) acetate as the metal source, followed by addition of an excess of NaClO₄, gave a tetranuclear zinc(II) complex $[Zn_4L^1(\mu-O_2CMe)_4][ClO_4]_2$ **1**, where H_2L^1 is a [2 + 2] tetra-Schiff-base macrocycle. A single-crystal X-ray analysis of the dichloromethane adduct, **1**·2CH₂Cl₂, revealed that this complex cation is centrosymmetric and contains two pairs of zinc ions bridged by a phenolic oxygen and two acetate ligands. Recrystallisation of this complex from a hydrous methanolic solution gave another tetranuclear complex, $[Zn_4L^1(\mu-OH)_2(\mu-O_2CMe)_2][ClO_4]_2\cdot 2H_2O$ **2**, which was also structurally characterised. While the four zinc ions are encapsulated in the macrocycle as observed for **1**, in complex **2** the two dimeric units formed by phenoxo- and acetato-bridges are further connected by two hydroxo bridges. Proton NMR spectroscopy has shown that this structural conversion is reversible and depends on the presence of water or acetic acid in solution. Treatment of complex **1** (or **2**) with NaBH₄ in methanol gave a dinuclear zinc complex, $[Zn_2L^2][ClO_4]_2\cdot H_2O$ **3**, together with a metal-free phenol-amine macrocycle, H_2L^2 , which is a reduced form of H_2L^1 . Both complex **3** and H_3L^2 , have been characterised on the basis of spectroscopic data.

Syntheses of polynuclear transition-metal complexes have been the subject of much attention in co-ordination chemistry owing to the recognition of the role played by polynuclear structures in metalloproteins.¹ In particular, tetranuclear metal complexes in which the metal ions are located in close proximity are of current interest,^{2,3} as models of multi-electron redox reactions, such as the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) of photosystem II in green plants.⁴

In order to organize four metal ions in a predetermined arrangement, the use of specially designed tetranucleating macrocyclic ligands is in principle the most direct and effective way. In fact, this synthetic strategy has been successful to some extent for certain metal ions, e.g. for Zn^{II} , ^{2a,b} Ni^{II 2a,c} and Cu^{II}, ^{2d} whilst it has been unsuccessful so far for manganese.⁵ Another preparative route to attain such tetranuclear structures, which has been most widely used, is the utilisation of the selfaggregation properties of dinuclear species.³ Several phenoxobridged dinuclear complexes often self-aggregate to form tetranuclear complexes with the help of exogenous bridging ligands such as oxo and hydroxo,^{3a} oxo and bromo,^{3b} and oxo and carboxylato,^{3b,c} etc. A recently reported tetranuclear copper(II) complex ⁶ was also such an assembly type, and was the first example of a crystallographically determined μ_4 -O₂² co-ordination mode in a copper(II) complex. This peroxo coordination mode is very fascinating with respect to dioxygen release catalysed by the tetranuclear Mn cluster of OEC in photosystem II, in which μ_4 -O₂²⁻ co-ordination has been under discussion ⁷ as an intermediate in the oxidation of water.³

Our approach to new tetranuclear complexes has been based on the synthesis of large macrocycles which contain two phenoxo-bridged dinuclear cores possessing an intramolecular self-assembling property. Tetranuclear structures derived from such macrocycles are expected to be thermodynamically





stabilised by the macrocyclic effect ⁸ and, thus, their reactivities can easily be investigated in solution. Here we report such a macrocyclic ligand, H_2L^1 , which has been obtained by the template condensation of 2,6-diformyl-4-methylphenol and

1,11-diamino-3,6,9-trioxaundecane using zinc(II) acetate as the template core. The structures of $[Zn_4L^1(\mu-O_2CMe)_4][ClO_4]_2$. $2CH_2Cl_2$ (1: pre-aggregated form) and $[Zn_4L^1(\mu-OH)_2(\mu-O_2CMe)_2][ClO_4]_2$ (2: aggregated form) in both the solid state and solution have been characterised, by X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy, respectively. Further, the chemistry of the other macrocycles H_2L^2 and HL^3 is also briefly discussed; the former ligand has been obtained by reducing H_2L^1 whereas the latter has been obtained by the metal-free Schiff-base condensation of the same diamine and dialdehyde.

Experimental

Materials.—2,6-Diformyl-4-methylphenol⁹ and 1,11diamino-3,6,9-trioxaundecane¹⁰ were respectively synthesised by a slight modification of the literature methods. Solvents used for syntheses were distilled prior to use. Deuteriated solvents $CDCl_3$ (99.8%, Merck), D₂O (99.8%, Merck), CD₃CN (99.8%, Nippon Sanso) and CD₃OD (99.8%, Nakalai tesque) were commercially obtained and used for NMR measurements without further purification. All other chemicals were of reagent grade and used as received.

Preparations.— $[Zn_4L^1(\mu-O_2CMe)_4][ClO_4]_2$ 1. The compounds 2,6-diformyl-4-methylphenol (5.00 g, 0.031 mol) and $Zn(O_2CMe)_2$ (11.18 g, 0.062 mol) were dissolved together in methanol (150 cm³) under reflux. To the resultant solution 1,11diamino-3,6,9-trioxaundecane (5.86 g, 0.031 mol) in methanol (30 cm³) was added dropwise. The yellow reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 30 min and then an excess of NaClO₄ (30.4 g, 0.248 mol) added. Upon standing at room temperature a yellow crystalline precipitate started to separate. After 2 h the precipitate was collected, washed with methanol, diethyl ether, and then air-dried. Yield: 18.0 g.

The precipitate is invariably contaminated with a small amount of $[Zn_4L^1(\mu-OH)_2(\mu-O_2CMe)_2][ClO_4]_2\cdot 2H_2O$ **2**. The extent of contamination depends on the amount of water in the solvent used (see below). Recrystallisation from a mixture of dry methanol and dry dichloromethane gave yellow needle-like crystals, which very easily lost lattice solvent (CH_2Cl_2) in air to become a powder. The yellow powder had a composition consistent with the chemical formula $[Zn_4L^1(\mu-O_2CMe)_4]$ - $[ClO_4]_2$ (Found: C, 37.70; H, 4.30; N, 4.15; Zn, 19.55. Calc. for $C_{42}H_{58}Cl_2N_4O_{24}Zn_4$: C, 37.80; H, 4.40; N, 4.20; Zn, 19.60%). NMR (CD₃CN): ¹H, δ 8.43 (s, 4 H, imine H), 7.42 (s, 4 H, aryl), 3.80–3.89 [m, 24 H, CH₂(CH₂OCH₂)₃CH₂], 3.67 [t, 8 H, CH₂(CH₂OCH₂)₃CH₂], 2.25 (s, 6 H, aryl Me), 1.96 (s, 12 H, MeCO₂); ¹³C, δ 181.13, 173.49, 165.35, 144.22, 127.63, 121.13, 72.99, 70.35, 69.90, 58.36, 23.54, 19.46.

[Zn₄L¹(μ-OH)₂(μ-O₂CMe)₂][ClO₄]₂·2H₂O 2. This complex was prepared by the same method as that for 1, using a mixture of methanol and water (10:1) as solvent. Yield: 90%. The yellow crystalline product was further recrystallised from the same mixed solvent to give yellow needles, which were very stable in air (Found: C, 35.20; H, 4.55; N, 4.25; Zn, 20.60. Calc. for C₃₈H₅₈Cl₂N₄O₂₄Zn₄: C, 35.45; H, 4.35; N, 4.25; Zn, 20.30%). NMR (CD₃CN): ¹H, δ 8.12 (s, 4 H, imine H), 7.02 (s, 4 H, aryl), 4.16 (s, 2 H, OH), 3.63–4.02 [m, 32 H, CH₂(CH₂OCH₂)₃CH₂], 2.19 (s, 6 H, aryl Me), 1.96 (s, 6 H, MeCO₂); ¹³C, δ 181.99, 173.53, 165.76, 144.83, 126.84, 120.73, 70.85, 68.98, 68.07, 59.46, 23.77, 19.55.

 $[Zn_2L^2][ClO_4]_2$ ·H₂O 3 and isolation of metal-free H₂L². To a suspension of complex 2 (25.0 g, 0.019 mol) in methanol (400 cm³) was added dropwise an aqueous solution (25 cm³) of NaBH₄ (7.35 g, 0.194 mol) over a period of 30 min. After 2 h of vigorous stirring, water (500 cm³) was added. The resultant offwhite precipitate was collected, washed successively with water, methanol and diethyl ether and dried in air. Yield: 17.57 g (93%). Recrystallisation of the product from hot acetonitrile gave white needles (Found: C, 41.35; H, 5.45; N, 5.40; Zn, 13.15. Calc. for C₃₄H₅₆Cl₂N₄O₁₇Zn₂: C, 41.05; H, 5.70; N, 5.65; Zn, 13.30%). NMR (CD₃CN): ¹H, δ 6.88 (s, 4 H, aryl), 4.36 (t, 4 H, NH), 3.86–4.04 (m, 8 H, aryl CH₂N), 2.76–3.27 [m, 32 H, CH₂(CH₂OCH₂)₃CH₂], 2.22 (s, 6 H, aryl Me); ¹³C, δ 159.64, 132.02, 126.30, 125.15, 67.80, 64.94, 58.94, 51.10, 47.42, 20.25.

Standard¹¹ demetallation of complex 3, using H₄edta (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) chelator and extracting with dichloromethane, quantitatively gave the metal-free macrocycle H₂L² as a pale yellow oil. Yield: 98%. Mass spectrum (positiveion FAB): m/z 649 (M^+). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.80 (s, 4 H, aryl), 5.61 (br, 6 H, NH and OH), 3.82 (s, 8 H, aryl CH₂N), 3.62 [m, 24 H, CH₂(CH₂OCH₂)₃CH₂], 2.81 [t, 8 H, CH₂-(CH₂OCH₂)₃CH₂], 2.10 (s, 6 H, aryl Me).

This macrocycle was also obtained in high yield (>90%) from complex 1 using a series of reduction and demetallation procedures.

[HL³][HPF₆]. An equimolar mixture of 2,6-diformyl-4methylphenol (0.352 g, 0.002 mol) and 1,11-diamino-3,6,9trioxaundecane (0.412 g, 0.002 mol) in methanol (200 cm³) gave a clear yellow solution. Addition of NH₄PF₆ (0.342 g, 0.002 mol) immediately yielded a yellow precipitate. This product was recrystallised from acetonitrile to give a [1 + 1] Schiff-base condensation product as the hydrogen hexafluorophosphate salt in a cubic crystalline form. Yield: 0.24 g (25%). Mass spectrum (positive-ion FAB): m/z 321 [(HL³)⁺] (Found: C, 43.80; H, 5.45; N, 6.00. Calc. for C₁₇H₂₅Cl₂N₂O₄PF₆: C, 43.80; H, 5.40; N, 6.00%). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 14.45 (br, 2 H, H⁺ and aryl OH), 8.43 and 8.38 (s, 2 H, imine H), 7.58 (s, 2 H, aryl), 3.88 [q, 4 H, CH₂(CH₂OCH₂)₃CH₂], 3.56–3.68 [m, 12 H, CH₂(CH₂OCH₂)₃CH₂], 2.24 (s, 3 H, aryl Me).

Instruments, Analyses and Measurements.—The C, H, N analyses were carried out at the Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, Shimane University, Japan. Zinc analyses were made on a Shimadzu AA-680 atomic absorption—flame emission spectrophotometer. Infrared spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-300 spectrophotometer using KBr discs. Molar conductances were measured in acetonitrile (10^{-3} mol dm⁻³) on a TOA Electronics CM-50AT conductivity meter at 25 °C. Proton and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using a JEOL EX-270 spectrometer, chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane. Fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectra were measured using a mixture of dithiothreitol and dithioerythritol (3:1) as matrix on a TSQ-70 mass spectrometer (Finningen MAT Corporation).

Crystal-structure Determination.—The yellow needle-like crystals of $1.2CH_2Cl_2$ readily lost CH_2Cl_2 in air to become a powder. Therefore, the single crystal which was prepared by recrystallisation from a solvent mixture of dry MeOH and CH_2Cl_2 was picked directly from the mother-liquor, coated with hydrocarbon grease, sealed with the mother-liquor in a capillary tube, and used for X-ray crystallography. In contrast, the yellow needle-like crystals of **2** are so stable in air that the single crystal was mounted in a capillary without coating or sealing with the mother-liquor.

Crystal data and details pertaining to the data collection are given in Table 1. Intensity data were collected at room temperature on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 Express diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda =$ 0.710 73 Å) in the ω -2 θ scan mode. The data were corrected for crystal decomposition, Lorentz and polarisation effects, and absorption from the empirical ψ -scan data. Accurate unit-cell parameters were obtained by a least-squares fit of 25 reflections with 20° $\leq 2\theta \leq 30^\circ$. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined using full-matrix least-squares procedures. For 1-2CH₂Cl₂, all the non-hydrogen atoms were readily located and refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. All the hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and included in the final refinement as fixed scatters (d_{C-H} 0.95 Å, B_{eq} 5.00 Å²). For **2**, all the non-hydrogen atoms for L² – and the acetate groups were readily located and refined with anisotropic

Table 1 Crystallographic data and details of the structure determinations for complexes $1-2CH_2Cl_2$ and 2

| | 1.2CH ₂ Cl ₂ | 2 |
|--|--|--|
| Formula | $C_{44}H_{42}Cl_{4}N_{4}O_{24}Zn_{4}$ | $C_{30}H_{50}Cl_2N_4O_{24}Zn_4$ |
| M | 1505.3 | 1287.4 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Colour habit | Yellow, needle | Yellow, needle |
| Space group | $P2_{1}/c$ | $P2_{1}/a$ |
| a/Å | 8.625(3) | 13.891(1) |
| \dot{b}/\dot{A} | 12.232(2) | 31,215(3) |
| c/Å | 28.816(5) | 14.076(1) |
| 8/° | 92.028(25) | 118.909(8) |
| $U/Å^3$ | 3038(1) | 5343(1) |
| Z | 2 | 4 |
| \tilde{D}/g cm ⁻³ | 1.65 | 1.60 |
| $D_{-}/g \text{ cm}^{-3}$ | | 1.61 |
| $T/^{\circ}C$ | 20 ± 1 | 20 ± 1 |
| F(000) | 1320 | 2 640 |
| µ/cm ⁻¹ | 17.615 | 19.982 |
| Radiation $(\lambda/\text{Å})^a$ | 0.710 73 | 0.710 73 |
| Transmission factor | 0.999-0.781 | 1.00-0.975 |
| Crystal size/mm | $0.50 \times 0.25 \times 0.10$ | $0.50 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ |
| 2θ range/° | 5.32-54.16 | 4.96-52.94 |
| Octants collected | $0 \leq h \leq 11, 0 \leq k \leq 15, -36 \leq l \leq 36$ | $0 \leq h \leq 17, 0 \leq k \leq 39, -17 \leq l \leq 17$ |
| Total reflections | 6644 | 11 008 |
| Unique reflections $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ | 2779 | 3822 |
| No. of variables | 370 | 541 |
| R, ^b R' ^c | 0.0383, 0.0445 | 0.0861, 0.1213 |
| Maximum residual electron density/e $Å^{-3}$ | 0.364 | 1.298 |

^{*a*} Mo-K_α from graphite monochromator. ^{*b*} $R = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_o|$. ^{*c*} $R' = [\Sigma w (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w |F_o|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$; $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.0004F^2$.

Table 2 Selected interatomic distances (Å) for complexes $1-2CH_2Cl_2$ and 2 with estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) in parentheses

| 2.039(3) | Zn(2)-O(6) | 1.945(4) | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2.315(3) | Zn(2) - O(8) | 1.949(4) | | | | |
| 1.951(3) | Zn(2)-N(2) | 1.993(4) | | | | |
| 1.953(4) | $Zn(1) \cdots Zn(2)$ | 3.1588(9) | | | | |
| 1.991(4) | $Zn(1) \cdots Zn(1')$ | 8.0773(8) | | | | |
| 2.044(3) | $Zn(1) \cdots Zn(2')$ | 7.6248(9) | | | | |
| 2.336(3) | $Zn(2) \cdots Zn(2')$ | 8.4255(9) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2.043(9) | Zn(3)-O(4) | 2.366(12) | | | | |
| 2.342(11) | Zn(3) - O(5) | 2.028(10) | | | | |
| 1.921(11) | Zn(3)-O(10) | 1.916(11) | | | | |
| 2.001(13) | Zn(3)-O(13) | 1.957(11) | | | | |
| 1.996(13) | Zn(3) - N(3) | 2.05(2) | | | | |
| 2.052(9) | Zn(4) - O(5) | 2.033(9) | | | | |
| 2.506(9) | Zn(4)-O(6) | 2.510(9) | | | | |
| 1.938(10) | Zn(4)-O(9) | 1.895(10) | | | | |
| 1.956(10) | Zn(4)-O(14) | 1.955(12) | | | | |
| 1.971(13) | Zn(4)-N(4) | 2.027(13) | | | | |
| 3.233(2) | $Zn(2) \cdots Zn(3)$ | 4.784(3) | | | | |
| 3.407(3) | $Zn(2) \cdots Zn(4)$ | 3.414(3) | | | | |
| 4.517(2) | $Zn(3) \cdots Zn(4)$ | 3.221(2) | | | | |
| Symmetry code ': $-x, -y, 1 - z$. | | | | | | |
| | 2.039(3) 2.315(3) 1.951(3) 1.953(4) 1.991(4) 2.044(3) 2.336(3) 2.043(9) 2.342(11) 1.921(11) 2.001(13) 1.996(13) 2.052(9) 2.506(9) 1.938(10) 1.956(10) 1.971(13) 3.233(2) 3.407(3) 4.517(2) -x, -y, 1 - z. | $\begin{array}{ccccc} 2.039(3) & Zn(2)-O(6) \\ 2.315(3) & Zn(2)-O(8) \\ 1.951(3) & Zn(2)-N(2) \\ 1.953(4) & Zn(1)\cdots Zn(2) \\ 1.991(4) & Zn(1)\cdots Zn(1') \\ 2.044(3) & Zn(1)\cdots Zn(2') \\ 2.336(3) & Zn(2)\cdots Zn(2') \\ \end{array}$ | | | | |

thermal parameters. Since the successive refinements and Fourier-difference syntheses gave many peaks around the two perchlorate ions, the ions were treated as disordered and fixed with isotropic thermal parameters at the final stage of the refinement; the 16 oxygen atoms were treated with the same occupancy factor of 0.50. Although two water molecules per asymmetric unit were predicted from the elemental analysis, all attempts to locate them were in vain, because of diverging temperature factors. Therefore, no water molecule was included in the final stage. No effort was made to find and calculate the positions of the hydrogen atoms. All computations were performed on a VAX station computer (4000-VLC) using the Enraf-Nonius MolEN programs.¹² Crystallographic diagrams



Scheme 1 (i) Zn(O₂CMe)₂ + NaClO₄ in dry MeOH; (ii) Zn(O₂CMe)₂ + NaClO₄ in hydrous MeOH; (iii) water; (iv) CH₃CO₂H; (v) NaBH₄ in MeOH; (vi) H₄edta in water + CH₂Cl₂; (vii) NH₄PF₆

3

were drawn using the ORTEP program¹³ for 1 and the Chem3D software¹⁴ for 2. Selected bond distances for complexes 1 and 2 are compared in Table 2, with the final positional parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises H-atom coordinates, thermal parameters and remaining bond lengths and angles.

Results and Discussion

Syntheses.—The synthesis of all compounds obtained in this study is illustrated in Scheme 1. Each product was obtained in very high yield (>90%), except for the metal-free condensation product HL^3 .

From the kinetic point of view, metal-free Schiff-base condensation of dialdehyde and diamine, in general, affords polymeric Schiff-base products. Even in the case of metaltemplate reactions, the Schiff-base condensation sometimes Table 3 Final atomic coordinates for complex 1 with e.s.d.s in parentheses $\$

| Atom | x | у | z |
|-------|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| Zn(1) | 0.083 58(7) | 0.120 13(5) | 0.62914(2) |
| Zn(2) | -0.06516(7) | 0.304 66(5) | 0.564 92(2) |
| Cl(1) | 0.435 1(2) | 0.22853(12) | 0.844 84(5) |
| Cl(2) | 0.6172(3) | 0.162 5(2) | 0.182 79(9) |
| Cl(3) | 0.413 9(2) | 0.134 6(2) | $0.102\ 21(7)$ |
| O(1) | 0.034 3(4) | 0.283 3(3) | 0.629 81(11) |
| O(2) | 0.128 7(4) | -0.0591(3) | 0.651 84(12) |
| O(3) | 0.061 1(5) | -0.2480(3) | 0.579 35(14) |
| O(4) | -0.1901(4) | 0.367 3(3) | 0.496 66(12) |
| O(5) | -0.1336(4) | 0.077 7(3) | 0.620 29(12) |
| O(6) | -0.2322(4) | 0.198 5(3) | 0.569 16(12) |
| O(7) | 0.190 1(4) | 0.105 5(3) | 0.570 74(12) |
| O(8) | 0.108 5(4) | 0.251 6(3) | 0.529 72(12) |
| O(9) | 0.428 6(8) | 0.336 8(4) | 0.831 1(2) |
| O(10) | 0.420 4(7) | 0.213 2(7) | 0.891 7(2) |
| O(11) | 0.571 2(7) | 0.184 6(5) | 0.832 3(2) |
| O(12) | 0.307 7(7) | 0.173 6(5) | 0.824 3(2) |
| N(1) | 0.216 4(5) | 0.136 7(3) | 0.686 7(2) |
| N(2) | -0.0995(5) | 0.462 5(3) | 0.578 57(14) |
| C(1) | 0.076 9(6) | 0.356 8(4) | 0.661 3(2) |
| C(2) | 0.028 8(6) | 0.468 2(4) | 0.655 8(2) |
| C(3) | 0.074 8(7) | 0.543 5(4) | 0.690 3(2) |
| C(4) | 0.162 7(7) | 0.519 0(5) | 0.729 0(2) |
| C(5) | 0.208 3(6) | 0.412 8(5) | 0.733 2(2) |
| C(6) | 0.167 6(6) | 0.330 6(4) | 0.701 0(2) |
| C(7) | 0.211 5(9) | 0.606 3(5) | 0.762 9(2) |
| C(8) | 0.232 7(6) | 0.223 8(5) | 0.710 9(2) |
| C(9) | 0.299 4(7) | 0.037 0(5) | 0.701 6(2) |
| C(10) | 0.195 3(7) | -0.057 5(5) | 0.697 7(2) |
| C(11) | 0.011 3(8) | -0.140 9(5) | 0.647 6(2) |
| C(12) | -0.0322(8) | -0.165 9(5) | 0.598 4(2) |
| C(13) | -0.057 0(6) | 0.512 4(4) | 0.615 9(2) |
| C(14) | -0.173 4(7) | 0.530 0(4) | 0.541 8(2) |
| C(15) | -0.276 7(6) | 0.459 9(5) | 0.511 6(2) |
| C(16) | -0.276 9(7) | 0.301 7(6) | 0.463 7(2) |
| C(17) | 0.184 7(7) | -0.208 6(5) | 0.553 2(2) |
| C(18) | -0.243 40(6) | 0.118 0(4) | 0.596 0(2) |
| C(19) | -0.3978(7) | 0.066 4(5) | 0.599 9(2) |
| C(20) | 0.194 8(6) | 0.169 8(5) | 0.536 8(2) |
| C(21) | 0.312 9(8) | 0.145 9(7) | 0.502 0(2) |
| C(22) | 0.521 9(8) | 0.073 2(6) | 0.146 1(3) |

gives different macrocycles depending upon the reaction conditions, *e.g.* [2 + 2] tetra-Schiff base^{2a,b} or [3 + 3] hexa-Schiff base¹⁵ macrocycles. In this instance using 2,6-diformyl-4-methylphenol and 1,11-diamino-3,6,9-trioxaundecane, the metal-template reaction where zinc acetate functions as the template core led to quantitative tetra-Schiff-base macrocyclisation giving the tetranuclear zinc complexes 1 and 2. This preferential [2 + 2] macrocyclisation is clearly favoured by the use of the zinc ion as the template core. In fact, the metal-free (equimolar) Schiff-base condensation gave a [1 + 1] di-Schiffbase macrocycle as its hydrogen hexafluorophosphate salt, [HL³][HPF₆], which was characterised by NMR and FABmass spectroscopies and a preliminary crystal-structure analysis.¹⁶ Furthermore, attempts to prepare the same macrocycle using $M(O_2CMe)_2$ ($M = Cu^{II}$, Ni^{II} or Mn^{II}) as the template core were unsuccessful, giving oily or amorphous products. These discriminating results may be rationally interpreted in terms of the concept of hard and soft acids and bases, 1^{17} zinc(II) ion is categorised as a typical hard acid and thus would have a high affinity for the ether-type oxygen atom, whereas Cu^{II}, Ni^{II} and Mn^{II} would have a poorer affinity for the ether oxygen. In fact, recrystallisation of an amorphous product, obtained from the reaction with manganese(II) acetate, finally gave yellow crystals, whose ¹H NMR and IR spectra were consistent with those of $[HL^3][HPF_6]$.

Reduction of complex 1 (or 2) with sodium tetrahydroborate gave a complex of the reduced macrocycle $[L^2]^{2-}$, but in the

form of dinuclear zinc complex 3. From complex 3 the metalfree macrocycle H_2L^2 could also be isolated using H_4 edta to remove the zinc ions in alkaline solution. The successful isolation of the saturated macrocycle is rather interesting when considering the case of a closely related macrocycle recently reported by Kahwa and co-workers.¹⁸ Their macrocyclic compound, which was prepared by Schiff-base condensation of 2,6-diformyl-4-methylphenol and 1,8-diamino-3,6-dioxaoctane, is also readily reduced to the saturated form.^{18b} However, the reduced product, in the metal-free form, is unstable toward atmospheric oxidation, finally giving a partially oxidized amine–imine phenolate macrocycle. On the other hand, macrocycle H_2L^2 is very stable in air and no proof for oxidation of CHNH to C=N was obtained from ¹H NMR spectroscopy even after one month.

Although both H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 , which have the same core size, were expected to show similar complexation behaviour toward zinc ion, the former functions as a tetranucleating ligand while the latter acts as a dinucleating one. The structures of the two tetranuclear zinc complexes 1 and 2 have been determined by X-ray analysis and their chemistry in solution has been studied by NMR spectroscopy. The dinuclear zinc complex 3 has been characterised by elemental analysis and spectroscopic methods. These results are described below.

Structural Description of 1.2CH₂Cl₂ and 2.-Yellow needlelike crystals of 1 obtained from a mixture of dry CH₂Cl₂ and MeOH showed remarkable instability in air, probably due to the loss of CH₂Cl₂ molecules from the crystal lattice. In fact, the X-ray diffraction study revealed that the solvent molecules are captured in the lattice together with the cations, [Zn₄L¹- $(\mu - O_2 CMe)_4]^{2+}$, and perchlorate anions. The structure of the cation and atom-numbering scheme are presented in Fig. 1. The compound resides on a crystallographically imposed centre of symmetry, relating the primed and unprimed atoms. The macrocycle has a planar form and linear conformation with respect to its polyether chains, producing a large cavity in the centre of the ring, into which four zinc ions are encapsulated as a pair of µ-phenoxo-bis(µ-carboxylato)dizinc species. The two zinc ions in each dinuclear core are at a distance of 3.1588(9) Å, and are crystallographically inequivalent. However, the metal ions have essentially the same co-ordination geometry (distorted trigonal bipyramid) each possessing an NO₄ donor set, i.e. bridging phenoxo oxygen [Zn(1)-O(1) 2.039(3), Zn(2)-O(1) 2.044(3) Å], imine nitrogen [Zn(1)-N(1) 1.991(4), Zn(2)-N(2) 1.993(4) Å], acetato oxygen [Zn(1)-O(5) 1.951(3), Zn(1)-O(7) 1.953(4), Zn(2)-O(6) 1.945(4), Zn(2)-O(8) 1.949(4) Å], and the ether oxygen [Zn(1)-O(2) 2.315(3), Zn(2)-O(4)]2.336(3) Å], with the phenoxo and ether oxygen atoms occupying the axial sites. Concerning bond distances, the zinc(II)-imine nitrogen interaction (average 1.992 Å) and all the zinc(II)-oxygen bonds (average 1.980 Å), except for the ether oxygens, fall well within the range of distances observed for typical zinc(II)-nitrogen^{2a,19} and -oxygen²⁰ co-ordination compounds. The bond distances between the Zn^{II} -O_{ether} linkages (average 2.326 Å) are slightly longer than the typical values of 2.2-2.3 Å for zinc(II)-crown ether oxygen interactions.21

The structure of the other tetranuclear zinc complex 2 differs significantly from that of 1, at each dinuclear zinc core of complex 2 there is only one bridging acetate. The structure of the cation of 2 and atom-numbering scheme are presented in Fig. 2, together with the tetranuclear core structure (Fig. 3). One of the bridging acetate groups at each dinuclear zinc core of complex 1 has been replaced by two hydroxo ions in 2, which further co-ordinate to the other zinc pair thereby linking the two dimer units, yielding a Zn_4O_4 cluster-like ring core (Fig. 3). Unlike the planar form of $[L^1]^{2-}$ in 1, the two polyether chains of the ligand in 2 are folded and the two dimeric units formed by the phenoxo bridges are paired parallel to each other by π - π

Table 4 Final atomic coordinates for complex 2 with e.s.d.s in parentheses

| Atom | x | у | z | Atom | x | у | z |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Zn(1) | 0.382 56(14) | 0.385 45(6) | 0.063 24(14) | N(4) | 0.776 5(9) | 0.324 9(4) | 0.407 8(9) |
| Zn(2) | 0.504 76(13) | 0.404 99(6) | 0.320 80(13) | C (1) | 0.478(2) | 0.176 1(6) | 0.298(2) |
| Zn(3) | 0.624 3(2) | 0.387 78(7) | 0.056 28(14) | C(2) | 0.4649(11) | 0.225 3(6) | 0.268 5(13) |
| Zn(4) | 0.74112(13) | 0.378 72(6) | 0.316 38(13) | C(3) | 0.4227(12) | 0.239 5(5) | 0.166 0(12) |
| $Cl(1)^*$ | 0.248 9 | 0.378 0 | 0.531 2 | C(4) | 0.413 0(11) | 0.283 6(5) | 0.149 8(12) |
| Cl(2)* | 0.338 9 | 0.1878 | 0.811 6 | C(5) | 0.448 8(10) | 0.314 8(5) | 0.232 2(11) |
| O(1) | 0.443 4(7) | 0.355 9(3) | 0.210 6(7) | C(6) | 0.487 6(11) | 0.300 6(5) | 0.339 2(11) |
| O(2) | 0.263 7(10) | 0.401 8(4) | -0.1192(10) | C(7) | 0.494 9(11) | 0.254 1(6) | 0.354 1(13) |
| O(3) | 0.399 6(12) | 0.472 5(4) | -0.1255(12) | C(8) | 0.357 2(12) | 0.293 2(6) | 0.031 8(13) |
| O(4) | 0.576 2(9) | 0.4270(4) | -0.104 4(8) | C(9) | 0.284(2) | 0.327 5(7) | -0.1314(14) |
| O(5) | 0.672 9(7) | 0.343 9(3) | 0.1771(7) | C(10) | 0.206(2) | 0.362 8(7) | -0.172(2) |
| O(6) | 0.864 2(8) | 0.4041(4) | 0.506 7(8) | C(11) | 0.228(2) | 0.447 0(11) | -0.161(2) |
| O(7) | 0.763 3(8) | 0.482 9(4) | 0.462 0(9) | C(12) | 0.297(3) | 0.473(2) | -0.194(3) |
| O(8) | 0.584 0(7) | 0.451 6(3) | 0.486 3(7) | C(13) | 0.445(2) | 0.484 7(8) | -0.1959(13) |
| O(9) | 0.636 7(7) | 0.418 7(3) | 0.312 7(7) | C(14) | 0.554(2) | 0.472 5(7) | -0.125(2) |
| O(10) | 0.5023(7) | 0.414 9(3) | 0.059 8(7) | C(15) | 0.553(3) | 0.404 3(8) | -0.188(2) |
| oàn | 0.287 3(8) | 0.426 1(4) | 0.090 6(8) | - C(16) | 0.564(2) | 0.357 8(9) | -0.1715(13) |
| O(12) | 0.377 9(8) | 0.443 5(3) | 0.260 5(7) | C(17) | 0.6004(13) | 0.298 9(6) | -0.0345(13) |
| O(13) | 0.762 3(8) | 0.419 7(4) | 0.1119(8) | C(18) | 0.648(2) | 0.159 8(6) | 0.139(2) |
| O(14) | 0.846 2(7) | 0.410 9(3) | 0.289 5(7) | C(19) | 0.654 6(11) | 0.208 6(5) | 0.143 1(13) |
| O(15)* | 0.840 1 | 0.087 9 | 0.601 7 | C(20) | 0.695 8(11) | 0.226 8(5) | 0.246 1(14) |
| O(15a)* | 0.344 3 | 0.369 8 | 0.634 6 | C(21) | 0.706 1(10) | 0.272 4(6) | 0.258 1(12) |
| O(16)* | 0.791 5 | 0.167 2 | 0.567 7 | C(22) | 0.670 6(10) | 0.303 1(5) | 0.167 9(11) |
| O(16a)* | 0.210 9 | 0.347 8 | 0.580 4 | C(23) | 0.630 9(11) | 0.277 4(6) | 0.066 8(12) |
| O(17)* | 0.752 0 | 0.128 2 | 0.436 0 | C(24) | 0.625 3(11) | 0.234 9(5) | 0.056 9(13) |
| O(17a)* | 0.269 5 | 0.335 0 | 0.483 1 | C(25) | 0.758 0(10) | 0.287 7(6) | 0.3752(12) |
| O(18)* | 0.643 3 | 0.102 5 | 0.5169 | C(26) | 0.827 0(14) | 0.330 0(6) | 0.528 7(12) |
| O(18a)* | 0.699 2 | 0.102 8 | 0.428 1 | C(27) | 0.914 3(14) | 0.366 6(7) | 0.565 8(14) |
| O(19)* | 0.367 0 | 0.179 9 | 0.932 7 | C(28) | 0.933 4(14) | 0.439 0(6) | 0.555(2) |
| O(19a)* | 0.388 9 | 0.143 5 | 0.845 6 | C(29) | 0.873 1(13) | 0.478 4(8) | 0.481 4(14) |
| O(20)* | 0.454 8 | 0.184 4 | 0.814 3 | C(30) | 0.7535(12) | 0.493 7(6) | 0.556 1(11) |
| O(20a)* | 0.376 3 | 0.239 9 | 0.805 7 | C(31) | 0.6252(12) | 0.493 3(6) | 0.506 6(12) |
| $O(21)^{*}$ | 0.290 4 | 0.146 5 | 0.752 6 | $\mathbf{C}(32)$ | 0.6297(14) | 0.425 0(6) | 0.575 7(13) |
| $O(21a)^*$ | 0.225 2 | 0.200 8 | 0.707 4 | C(33) | 0.539(2) | 0.387 3(7) | 0.542 7(12) |
| O(22)* | 0.269 1 | 0.227 2 | 0.764.0 | C(34) | 0.513 3(11) | 0.326 7(6) | 0.434 5(11) |
| $O(22a)^*$ | 0.286 8 | 0.211 7 | 0.865 0 | C(35) | 0.2984(12) | 0.4447(5) | 0.177 6(11) |
| N(1) | 0.335 2(10) | 0.329 3(4) | -0.0134(9) | C(36) | 0.198 8(13) | 0.473 6(7) | 0.157 7(15) |
| N(2) | 0.519 8(9) | 0.367 6(4) | 0.440 0(8) | C(37) | 0.8413(11) | 0.422 5(5) | 0.201 7(12) |
| N(3) | 0.598 7(10) | 0.339 4(5) | -0.051 7(10) | C(38) | 0.943 7(12) | 0.441 9(7) | 0.207 1(13) |

* Positions of these atoms were fixed at the final stage of refinement.



Fig. 1 Perspective view and atom-numbering scheme of the complex cation in 1

stacking of two phenolate rings at a distance of *ca.* 3.4 Å, which may contribute to the close proximity of the two dimeric units, finally yielding the bis-µ-hydroxo dimer pair. In this compound

the four zinc ions are crystallographically inequivalent, while their co-ordination geometry is trigonal bipyramidal consisting of NO_4 donor sets with the phenoxo and ether oxygens being in



Fig. 2 Perspective view and atom-numbering scheme of the complex cation in $\mathbf{2}$



Fig. 3 Co-ordination geometries of the four zinc ions in complex 2

axial positions, as is also the case in 1. Although the $Zn^{II}-O_{ether}$ bonds (average 2.43 Å) are longer than those observed in 1, all other bond distances are close to those of the corresponding ones in 1 (see Table 2 for their comparison). Owing to the poor quality of the crystal and the limited number of reflections, two water molecules in 2 could not be located by X-ray analysis and yet their presence had been predicted from elemental analysis. The failure to find these water molecules is reflected in the relatively high *R* value (0.0861) and large thermal parameters and deviations from ideal bond distances and angles for some atoms. However, NMR spectroscopy (¹H and ¹³C) of 2 in solution supported the vent-stacking structure shown in Fig. 2 and indicated that this structure is maintained in solution, see below.

Solution Structures of 1 and 2 and their Interconversion.—The molar conductance of complexes 1 (289 S cm² mol⁻¹) and 2 (288 S cm² mol⁻¹) measured in acetonitrile fall within the range reported for a 1:2 electrolyte,²² suggesting that the structures



Fig. 4 Proton NMR spectra of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 in CD_3CN ; * complex 2 (converted from 1 by water in the solvent used, see text)

of both cations as seen in the solid are intact in solution. Additional proof of the retention of the solid-state structure in both cations was obtained from ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy in CD₃CN. Proton NMR spectra of 1 and 2 are compared in Fig. 4, and all signals from each compound can be reasonably assigned. The most pronounced difference in the chemical shifts of the macrocycles of the two compounds is observed in the region of the imine and phenolate ring protons. The chemical shifts of the imine protons (δ 8.43) and the ring protons (δ 7.42) in the spectrum of 1 are shifted to δ 8.12 and 7.02, respectively, in the spectrum of 2. This upfield shift can be regarded as proof of the stacking interaction between the two phenolate rings observed in the solid structure of 2. Furthermore, both compounds 1 and 2 gave twelve resonances in their ¹³C NMR spectra in the same solvent, excluding the solvent peaks. This number of resonances can be explained by recognising that both compounds have very high symmetry in their solution structures, probably D_{2h} for 1 and C_{2v} for 2 on the NMR time-scale. Thus we conclude that, in solution, compounds 1 and 2 retain their respective planar and ventstacking forms seen in the solid state.

Compounds 1 and 2 could be selectively isolated by the subtle difference in the solvent used, *i.e.* the use of dry methanol preferentially gave 1 and hydrous methanol gave 2. This result suggests that 1 and 2 are interchangeable in solution, depending upon conditions, *e.g.* water content in the solvent used. In fact, addition of one drop of D_2O to a saturated solution of 1 in CD_3CN changed its ¹H NMR spectrum to that of 2. Furthermore, a similar titration experiment using acetic acid with compound 2 showed reversion to 1, which was also confirmed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Thus, we conclude that interconversion between 1 and 2 occurs readily in solution, depending on the presence of water or acetic acid.



Scheme 2 Possible structures for complex 3

Characterization of the Dinuclear Zinc Complex 3.—Direct reduction of complex 1 (or 2) afforded the zinc complex 3, which contained the reduced macrocycle $[L^2]^{2-}$ whose metalfree form was subsequently isolated by demetallation procedures. Complete reduction of $[L^1]^{2-}$ to $[L^2]^{2-}$ was inferred from the disappearance of the yellow colour in the reaction mixture and confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy for the isolated complex 3 and by FAB mass and ¹H NMR spectroscopy for the metal-free macrocycle.

Attempts to obtain single crystals of 3 suitable for an X-ray diffraction study have so far been unsuccessful. However, its elemental analysis (C, H, N and Zn) indicates that the complex is a dinuclear species which can be formulated as $[Zn_2L^2]$ - $[ClO_4]_2 \cdot H_2O$ (I) or $[Zn_2(HL^2)(OH)][ClO_4]_2$ (II). Scheme 2 illustrates two plausible structures for I and one for II. Routine NMR spectroscopy gave conclusive evidence for the structural assignment. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3 measured in $\breve{C}D_3CN$ exhibited only ten signals for this compound, showing high symmetry for the structure in solution. Of the three candidates, structure Ia has the highest symmetry, in which a quarter of the molecule is regarded as a structurally asymmetric unit, meaning that ten carbon atoms from the macrocycle are chemically and magnetically independent. On the other hand, 20 carbons from the half unit are expected to be independent for structures Ib and II with lower symmetry. Although some other structural analogues are indeed expected for this compound, the appearance of only ten signals in the ¹³C NMR spectrum concludes that complex 3 has the structure Ia in solution.

Conclusion

The new tetra-Schiff-base type of macrocycle, H₂L¹, has a cavity large enough to accommodate two µ-phenoxo dizinc(II) cores exhibiting different structural features, namely: planar form for 1 and vent-stacking form for 2. Interestingly, these two complexes rapidly interconvert in solution depending upon the content of water or acetic acid. These results clearly indicate that the macrocyclic ring is very flexible. From the viewpoint of structural chemistry, stacking interaction between two phenolate rings as seen in complex 2 undoubtedly contributes to drawing the two µ-phenoxo zinc pairs closer to each other. Utilisation of such a stacking interaction may be potentially useful in the assembly of several metal ions when designing polynuclear metal complexes aimed at modelling the active sites of polynuclear metalloenzymes, e.g. the Mn₄ cluster of OEC in photosystem II.⁴ Despite being a Zn^{II} complex, the structure of 2 has some relevance to OEC as recent theoretical studies, from magnetic chemistry²³ and molecular orbital theory,²⁴ suggested a ring arrangement for the four Mn ions in which hydroxo or oxo anions, the source of dioxygen release, are facing each other.

Unfortunately, attempts to synthesize tetranuclear manganese complexes with the same procedure as that described for compounds 1 and 2 were unsuccessful, giving the [1 + 1]condensation product in a metal-free form, probably due to the poorer affinity of the manganese ion for the ether oxygen atoms. To obtain tetra- or poly-nuclear transition-metal complexes, with potential application to multi-electron redox catalysis, several functional groups with co-ordinating ability should be introduced onto these macrocyclic ligands. Such a study is now in progress in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements

We are greatly indebted to Dr. Musa S. Shongwe (Kwansei Gakuin University) for his helpful comments during the preparation of this manuscript.

References

- D. E. Fenton, in Advances in Inorganic and Bioinorganic Mechanisms, ed. A. G. Sykes, Academic Press Inc., London, 1983, vol. 2, p. 187.
- 2 (a) M. Bell, A. J. Eawards, B. F. Hoskins, E. H. Kachab and R. Robson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 3603; (b) M. J. Grannas, B. F. Hoskins and R. Robson, Inorg. Chem., 1994, 33, 1071; (c) A. J. Eawards, B. F. Hoskins, E. H. Kachab, A. Markiewicz, K. S. Murray and R. Robson, Inorg. Chem., 1992, 31, 3585; (d) V. McKee and S. S. Tandon, Inorg. Chem., 1989, 28, 2901.
- 3 (a) B. P. Murch, F. C. Bradley, P. D. Boyle, V. Papaefthymiou and L. Que, jun., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 7993; (b) S. Teipel, K. Griesar, W. Haase and B. Krebs, Inorg. Chem., 1994, 33, 456; (c) L. Chen, S. R. Breeze, R. J. Rousseau, S. Wang and L. K. Thompson, Inorg. Chem., 1995, 34, 454; (d) M. K. Chan and W. H. Armstrong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 5055; D. L. Jameson, C.-L. Xie, D. N. Hendrickson, J. A. Potenza and H. J. Schugar, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 740.
- 4 G. Dismukes, Photochem. Photobiol., 1986, 42, 187; G. Renger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1987, 26, 643.
- 5 H. Sakiyama, K. Tokuyama, Y. Matsumura and H. Okawa, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1993, 591.
- 6 J. Reim and B. Krebs, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1969.
- 7 K. Wieghardt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 725.
- 8 D. K. Cabbines and D. W. Margerum, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 6450; J. D. Lamb, R. W. Izatt, J. J. Christensen and D. J. Eatough, in Coordination Chemistry of Macrocyclic Compounds, ed. G. A. Melson, Plenum Press, New York, 1979, p. 145.
- 9 A. Denton and H. Suschitzky, J. Chem. Soc., 1963, 4741.
- 10 M. S. Gibson and R. W. Bradshaw, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1968, 7, 919.
- 11 S. K. Mandal and K. Nag, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 3900; S. K. Mandal, L. K. Thompson, K. Nag, J. Charland and E. J. Gabe, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, 26, 1391.
- 12 MolEN, An Interactive Structure Solution Procedure, Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands, 1990.

- 13 C. K. Johnson, ORTEP, Report ORNL-3794, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1965.
- 14 CSC Chem3D, Application Software for Molecular Modelling and Analysis, version 3.1, Cambridge Scientific Computing Inc., Cambridge, MA, 1992.
- 15 B. F. Hoskins, R. Robson and P. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 488.
- 16 E. Asato, unpublished work.
- R. G. Pearson, Hard and Soft Acids and Bases, Dowen, Hutchison and Ross, Philadelphia, PA, 1973.
 (a) I. A. Kahwa, S. Folles, D. J. Williams, S. V. Ley, C. A.
- 18 (a) I. A. Kahwa, S. Folles, D. J. Williams, S. V. Ley, C. A. O'Mahoney and G. L. McPherson, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1989, 1531; (b) K. D. Matthews, I. A. Kahwa and D. J. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 1382.
- 19 D. G. McCollum, C. Fraser, R. Ostrander, A. L. Rheingold and B. Bosnich, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, 33, 2383.

- 20 A. Birnbaum, F. A. Cotton, Z. Dori and M. Kapon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, 23, 1617; W. Clegg, L. R. Little and P. Straughan, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 1986, 1283; X.-M. Chen and Y.-X. Tong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, 33, 4586.
- 21 K. M. Doxsee, J. R. Hagadorn and T. J. R. Weakley, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, 33, 2600.
- 22 W. J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1971, 7, 81.
- 23 C. Philouze, G. Blondin, J.-J. Girerd, J. Guilhem, C. Pascard and D. Lexa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 8557.
- 24 D. M. Proserpio, R. Hoffmann and C. Dismukes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 4374.

Received 10th April 1995; Paper 5/02304A